Document Description: Request for Recalculation in view of Wyeth

PTO/SB/131 (01-10)

Approved for use through 02/28/2011. OMB 0651-0020
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless at display on the Source of the Communication of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless at display of the Source of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless at display of the Source of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless at display of the Source of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless at display of the Source of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless at display of the Source of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless at display of the Source of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless at display of the Source of the

REQUEST FOR	RECALCULATION OF	PATENT	TERM /	ADJUSTMEN'	ſ
IN VIEW OF WYETH*					

IN VIEW OF WYEIH			
Attorney Docket Number:	C1037.70042US00	Patent Number: 7,605,138	
Filing Date (or 371(b) or (f) Date):	July 3, 2003	Issue Date: October 20, 2009	
First Named Arthur M. Inventor:	Krieg		
Title: NUCLEIC	ACID COMPOSITIONS F	OR STIMULATING IMMUNE RESPONSES	

PATENTEE HEREBY REQUESTS RECALCULATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT (PTA) UNDER 35 USC 154(b) INDICATED ON THE ABOVE-IDENTIFIED PATENT. THE PATENTEE'S SOLE BASIS FOR REQUESTING THE RECALCULATION IS THE USPTO'S PRE-WYETH INTERPRETATION OF 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A).

Note: This form is only for requesting a recalculation of PTA for patents issued before March 2, 2010, if the sole basis for requesting the recalculation is the USPTO's pre-*Wyeth* interpretation of 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(2)(A). See Instruction Sheet on page 2 for more information.

Patentees are reminded that to preserve the right to review in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia of the USPTO's patent term adjustment determination, a patentee must ensure that he or she also takes the steps required under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(3) and (b)(4) and 37 CFR 1,705 in a timely manner.

\*Wyeth v. Kappos, No. 2009-1120 (Fed. Cir., Jan. 7, 2010).

Signature MMMM	Date April 16, 2010			
Name (Print/Typed) Maria A. Trevisan	Registration Number	48,207		
Note: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required in accordance with 37 GFR 1.33 and 11.18. Please see 37 GFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature. If necessary, submit multiple forms if more than one signature, see below:				
*Total of forms are submitted.				

Certificate of Electronic Filing Under 37 CFR 1.8				
I hereby certify that this paper (along with system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).	n any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing			
Dated: April 16, 2010	Electronic Signature for Michelle M. Quinn: /Michelle M. Quinn/			